## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATION	NAL SEARCHING	AUTHORITY	Р	CT		
To: AMERSHAM HEALTH Attn. Thoresen, Nycoveien 1-2 P.O. Box 4220 N N-0401 Oslo NORWAY	Liv-Heidi	<u>2</u> 005	THE INTERNATIONAL THE WRITTEN OPINION SEARCHING AUTHORIT  (PC	F TRANSMITTAL OF SEARCH REPORT AND N OF THE INTERNATIONAL TY, OR THE DECLARATION TO RULE 44.1)		
Applicantia ay agastia fila y		22-JAN-06	Art. 19 22/1	1/2005		
Applicant's or agent's file or PN0384-PCT	DUE DATE:	22-FEB-06	FOR FURTHER ACTION - WO	See paragraphs 1 and 4 below		
International application N	FORMALITIES:	HNV	International filing date	- /i		
PCT/NO2004/0003	PAT OFF:	LHT	23/1	1/2004		
Applicant	ON DB:	21-NOV-05				
AMERSHAM HEALTH	AS CASE NO:	PN0384-PC		<u>,</u>		
1.						
NL-2280 HV Tel. (+31-70	atent Office, P.B. 5818	3 Patentlaan 2	Authorized officer Joëlle Gerber			

#### NOTES TO FORM PGT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

#### INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

#### What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

#### When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

#### Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been its filed, see below.

#### How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

#### What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

#### Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

#### NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended, it must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled:
- (iii) the claim is new:
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

## The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
   "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers;
   claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]:
   "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
   "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

#### "Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

#### Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

#### Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PN0384-PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	see Form PCT/ISA/220 as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/y	ear) (Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/NO2004/000358	23/11/2004	24/11/2003
Applicant  AMERSHAM HEALTH AS		•
according to Article 18. A copy is being t	ransmitted to the International Bureau.	ning Authority and is transmitted to the applicant
This International Search Report consist  X It is also accompanied b	y a copy of each prior art document cite	
	e international search was carried out o nless otherwise indicated under this iter	n the basis of the international application in the n.
this Authority (P	lule 23.1(b)).	f a translation of the international application furnished to
	eotide and/or amino acid sequence d	isclosed in the international application, see Box No. I.
3. Unity of invention is la	•	
4. With regard to the title,		
	submitted by the applicant.	
	lished by this Authority to read as follow	·
CONTRAST AGENT IMAGIN	IG ANGIOTENSIN II RECEPT	ORS
5. With regard to the abstract,		
	submitted by the applicant.	
the text has been estab	lished, according to Rule 38.2(b), by the	is Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant onal search report, submit comments to this Authority.
6. With regard to the drawings,	e published with the abstract is Figure N	do.
I —	y the applicant.	
	this Authority, because the applicant fai	iled to suggest a figure.
1 = 1	this Authority, because this figure bette	
	be published with the abstract.	

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/N02004/000358

a. classification of subject matter A61K51/04 //A61K123:00,A61K103:00

C DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE DELEVANT

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

#### **B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) A61K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, CHEM ABS Data, EMBASE, BIOSIS

<b>T</b>	đ
F)	Y

6

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Х	WO 98/18496 A (NYCOMED IMAGING AS; COCKBAIN, JULIAN; KLAVENESS, JO; NAEVESTAD, ANNE;) 7 May 1998 (1998-05-07) examples 8,9	1-11
P,X	WO 2004/062568 A (AMERSHAM HEALTH AS; SOLBAKKEN, MAGNE; ENGELL, TORGRIM; WADSWORTH, HARR) 29 July 2004 (2004-07-29) examples claims	1-11
E	WO 2005/030266 A (AMERSHAM HEALTH AS; KLAVENESS, JO; JOHANNESEN, EDVIN; TOLLESHAUG, HELG) 7 April 2005 (2005-04-07) examples claims	1-5,9-11
	-/	

LX	Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Х	Patent family members are listed i
° Sn	ecial categories of cited documents :		···

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed
- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

in annex.

- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled
- \*&\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report

#### 9 November 2005

Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Dullaart, A

22/11/2005

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/N02004/000358

Catego	tinuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  y * Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
2 x	PONCHANT M ET AL: "Radiosynthesis of 'tetrazoyl-<11>C!irbesartan, a non-peptidic angiotensin II antagonist" EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY, EDITIONS SCIENTIFIQUE ELSEVIER, PARIS, FR, vol. 32, no. 9, September 1997 (1997-09), pages 747-752, XP004094071 ISSN: 0223-5234 abstract figures page 749, right-hand column, paragraph RESULTS page 750, left-hand column, paragraph 1	1-11
S X	BURNS H D ET AL: "Development of '<11>C!L-159,884: A Radiolabelled, Nonpeptide Angiotensin II Antagonist that is Useful for Angiotensin II, AT1 Receptor Imaging" APPLIED RADIATION AND ISOTOPES, PERGAMON PRESS LTD., EXETER, GB, vol. 47, no. 2, February 1996 (1996-02), pages 211-218, XP004050601 ISSN: 0969-8043 abstract figures page 217, right-hand column, last paragraph - page 218, left-hand column, last line	1-11
Y	WO 03/006070 A (AMERSHAM PLC; ARCHER, COLIN, MILL; WADSWORTH, HARRY, JOHN; ENGELL, TOR) 23 January 2003 (2003-01-23) page 21, line 20 - page 22, line 10 page 26, line 15 - page 27, line 13 page 35, line 14 - page 36, line 6 page 39 - page 40, line 6	3-11
Y	WO 03/051859 A (AMERSHAM PLC; BOUVET, DENIS, RAYMOND, CHRISTOPHE; WADSWORTH, HARRY, JO) 26 June 2003 (2003-06-26) examples	3-11
Y	WO 03/006491 A (AMERSHAM HEALTH AS; CUTHBERTSON, ALAN; INDREVOLL, BAARD; SOLBAKKEN, MA) 23 January 2003 (2003-01-23) examples figures 1,2	3–11
A	US 5 444 069 A (DUDLEY ET AL) 22 August 1995 (1995-08-22) examples claims	1-11

International application No. PCT/N02004/000358

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. X Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Although claim 10 is directed to a diagnostic method practised on the human/animal body, a search has been carried out, based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.
Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

### **INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

Information on patent family members

International Application No PCT/N02004/000358

	itent document I in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO	9818496	A	07-05-1998	EP	0971747 A	2 19-01-2000
WO	2004062568	Α	29-07-2004	EP	1581262 A	2 05-10-2005
WO	2005030266	Α	07-04-2005	NONE		
WO	03006070	, A	23-01-2003	BR	0210965 A	
				CA	2450690 A	
				CN	1527727 A	
				DE	60206272 D	
				EP	1404377 A	
				HU 1	0401265 A	
			•	JP	2005500325 T	
				MX	PA04000210 A	
	•		•	US	2004258619 A	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ZA 	200400143 A	14-10-2004
WO	03051859	Α	26-06-2003	AU	2002350950 A	
				EΡ	1463721 A	
				JP	2005516935 T	,
	· 			US	2005080130 A	14-04-2005
WO	03006491	Α	23-01-2003	BR BR	0210886 A	22-06-2004
			,	CA	2452923 A	1 23-01-2003
				CN	1622830 A	01-06-2005
				EP		N2 07-04-2004
				HU	0400974 A	
				JP	2005507376 T	17-03-2005
				MX	PA04000173 A	18-03-2004
				PL	367273 A	1 21-02-2005
				US	2005070466 A	1 31-03-2005
115	5444069	Α	22-08-1995	NONE		

### **PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 RECEIVED INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43*bis*.1) 2 1 NOV 2005 Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) <u>Patent Dep. Oslo</u> Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 PN 0384-P4 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/NO2004/000358 23.11.2004 24.11.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61K51/04 DUE DATE: Applicant AMERSHAM HEALTH AS FORMALITIES: PAT. OFF: This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 21-Nov-05 ON DB: ☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion CASE NO: ☐ Box No. II **Priority** ☑ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

#### 2. FURTHER ACTION

Box No. V

Box No. VI

☐ Box No. VII

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

<u>)</u>

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Certain documents cited

Certain defects in the international application

☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

**Authorized Officer** 

Dullaart, A

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3290



## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/NO2004/000358

	Box N	lo. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	. With regard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	la	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With r	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. type	e of material:				
		a sequence listing				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. forn	nat of material:				
		in written format				
		in computer readable form				
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:				
•		contained in the international application as filed.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	h: Ct	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opropriate, were furnished.				
4.	Addition	onal comments:				

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/NO2004/000358

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
The obv	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
	the entire international application,						
	claims Nos.						
bec	because:						
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.						
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.						
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:						
	the written form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.						
⊠	See separate sheet for further	deta	ils				

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-11

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No:

Claims 1-11

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-9,11

No: Claims

10

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### Box No. VI Certain documents cited

Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10)
 and /or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

#### Re Item III.

Claim 10 relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of this claim (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

#### Re Item V.

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents:
- D1: WO 98/18496 A (NYCOMED IMAGING AS; COCKBAIN, JULIAN; KLAVENESS, JO; NAEVESTAD, ANNE;) 7 May 1998 (1998-05-07) Eksempel 8,9
- D2: PONCHANT M ET AL: "Radiosynthesis of [tetrazoyl-11C]irbesartan, a non-peptidic angiotensin II antagonist"

  European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, Editions Scientifique Elsevier, Paris, FR, vol. 32, no. 9, September 1997 (1997-09), pages 747-752, XP004094071

  ISSN: 0223-5234 Abstracts Jiswes Page 749, Australia Column, Paragraph 1.
- D3: BURNS H D ET AL: "Development of [11C]L-159,884: A Radiolabelled,

  Nonpeptide Angiotensin II Antagonist that is Useful for Angiotensin II, AT1

  Receptor Imaging" flooteneth, figures, page 217, right hand column, last party right.

  Applied Radiation and Isotopes, Pergamon Press Ltd., Exeter, GB, vol. 47, no.

  2, February 1996 (1996-02), pages 211-218, XP004050601 ISSN: 0969-8043 [211.20-22] [15-22] [
- D4: WO 03/006070 A (AMERSHAM PLC; ARCHER, COLIN, MILL; WADSWORTH, βος 14- βος
- D5: WO 03/051859 A (AMERSHAM PLC; BOUVET, DENIS, RAYMOND, CHRISTOPHE; WADSWORTH, HARRY, JO) 26 June 2003 (2003-06-26) Examples
- D6: WO 03/006491 A (AMERSHAM HEALTH AS; CUTHBERTSON, ALAN; INDREVOLL, BAARD; SOLBAKKEN, MA) 23 January 2003 (2003-01-23) Figures 1,2

## 2 <u>Inventive Step</u>

Document **D1** discloses (see passages cited in the international search report) radiolabelled losartan.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/NO2004/000358

Document **D2** discloses (see passages cited in the international search report) the radiosynthesis of [tetrazoyl-<sup>11</sup>C]irbesartan, a non-peptidic angiotensin II antagonist. Though the compound is labelled in the structure of irbesartan itself, it clearly is an agent having a moiety detectable in in vivo myocardial imaging, as is indicated in the passage on pages 749-750.

Document **D3** discloses (see passages cited in the international search report) the radiosynthesis of [11C]L-159884, a non-peptidic angiotensin II antagonist. Though the compound is labelled in the structure of L-159884 itself, it clearly is an agent having a moiety detectable in *in vivo* imaging.

Neither of these compounds has "a linear or branched amino acid-comprising biomodifier or linker group". Therefore, this characteristic can be considered as distinguishing the present application from each of these prior art document.

In the present application, however, no comparison is made with prior art labelled losartan compounds. In fact, since only the synthesis of certain compounds is given, their usefulness in (myocardial) imaging has yet to be established. The problem to be solved by the presently claimed compounds is therefore to provide alternative compounds for *in vivo* imaging of the angiotensin II receptors.

Documents **D4** to **D6** disclose (see passages cited in the international search report) the preferred chelator of the present application, linked to a different targeting group. This preferred chelator is also used for complexing <sup>99m</sup>Tc.

Starting from the usefulness of labelled losartan in imaging the angiotensin II receptors, the skilled person would certainly use the label disclosed in any of **D4** to **D6** to label losartan, thus arriving at the presently claimed invention without applying inventive skills. Therefore, the present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33.3 PCT for inventive step.